

MAS114: Lecture 13

James Cranch

<http://cranch.staff.shef.ac.uk/mas114/>

2017–2018

By way of a reminder

By way of a reminder

We'd been working with *divisibility*: for integers m , n , we defined $m \mid n$ to mean that there exists an integer k such that $mk = n$.

By way of a reminder

We'd been working with *divisibility*: for integers m, n , we defined $m \mid n$ to mean that there exists an integer k such that $mk = n$.
More recently, we defined *congruence*: for integers a, b and n , we defined $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ to mean $n \mid (a - b)$.

By way of a reminder

We'd been working with *divisibility*: for integers m, n , we defined $m \mid n$ to mean that there exists an integer k such that $mk = n$.
More recently, we defined *congruence*: for integers a, b and n , we defined $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ to mean $n \mid (a - b)$.
We'd just proved some sensible things about it.

The moral of that

The moral of that

I interpret all that as saying that, provided you're careful and justify any unusual steps, the language of congruences behaves somewhat like equality.

The moral of that

I interpret all that as saying that, provided you're careful and justify any unusual steps, the language of congruences behaves somewhat like equality. (In particular, our choice of notation, looking a bit like an overenthusiastic equals sign, wasn't a bad choice).

Multiplying congruences

Multiplying congruences

Back at school, you probably learned facts like “an odd number times an even number is an even number”.

Multiplying congruences

Back at school, you probably learned facts like “an odd number times an even number is an even number”. Being odd or even is about being congruent to 1 or 0 modulo 2.

Multiplying congruences

Back at school, you probably learned facts like “an odd number times an even number is an even number”. Being odd or even is about being congruent to 1 or 0 modulo 2. The language of congruences gives us ways of writing down similar facts about other moduli: for example, “a number congruent to 3 (mod 7) times a number congruent to 4 (mod 7) is a number congruent to 12 (mod 7) and hence to 5 (mod 7)”.

Multiplying congruences

Back at school, you probably learned facts like “an odd number times an even number is an even number”. Being odd or even is about being congruent to 1 or 0 modulo 2. The language of congruences gives us ways of writing down similar facts about other moduli: for example, “a number congruent to 3 (mod 7) times a number congruent to 4 (mod 7) is a number congruent to 12 (mod 7) and hence to 5 (mod 7)”.

In fact, we can use this ideas to make multiplication tables of congruences. For example, here’s a multiplication table modulo 5:

Multiplying congruences

Back at school, you probably learned facts like “an odd number times an even number is an even number”. Being odd or even is about being congruent to 1 or 0 modulo 2. The language of congruences gives us ways of writing down similar facts about other moduli: for example, “a number congruent to 3 (mod 7) times a number congruent to 4 (mod 7) is a number congruent to 12 (mod 7) and hence to 5 (mod 7)”.

In fact, we can use this ideas to make multiplication tables of congruences. For example, here’s a multiplication table modulo 5:



Multiplying congruences

Back at school, you probably learned facts like “an odd number times an even number is an even number”. Being odd or even is about being congruent to 1 or 0 modulo 2. The language of congruences gives us ways of writing down similar facts about other moduli: for example, “a number congruent to 3 (mod 7) times a number congruent to 4 (mod 7) is a number congruent to 12 (mod 7) and hence to 5 (mod 7)”.

In fact, we can use this ideas to make multiplication tables of congruences. For example, here’s a multiplication table modulo 5:



So, for example, this tells us that $2 \times 4 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$.

Some comments on that

Some comments on that

Notice that this shares some features with a usual multiplication table.

Some comments on that

Notice that this shares some features with a usual multiplication table. For example, there is a column and a row of zeroes, because if you multiply something by something congruent to zero mod 5, you get something congruent to zero mod 5.

Some comments on that

Notice that this shares some features with a usual multiplication table. For example, there is a column and a row of zeroes, because if you multiply something by something congruent to zero mod 5, you get something congruent to zero mod 5. Also, multiplying by 1 doesn't change anything.

Some comments on that

Notice that this shares some features with a usual multiplication table. For example, there is a column and a row of zeroes, because if you multiply something by something congruent to zero mod 5, you get something congruent to zero mod 5. Also, multiplying by 1 doesn't change anything.

In the above multiplication table, we managed to write every number congruent to 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4 modulo 5.

Some comments on that

Notice that this shares some features with a usual multiplication table. For example, there is a column and a row of zeroes, because if you multiply something by something congruent to zero mod 5, you get something congruent to zero mod 5. Also, multiplying by 1 doesn't change anything.

In the above multiplication table, we managed to write every number congruent to 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4 modulo 5. Is this a general feature?

Some comments on that

Notice that this shares some features with a usual multiplication table. For example, there is a column and a row of zeroes, because if you multiply something by something congruent to zero mod 5, you get something congruent to zero mod 5. Also, multiplying by 1 doesn't change anything.

In the above multiplication table, we managed to write every number congruent to 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4 modulo 5. Is this a general feature? Yes, it is, and it turns out to be a consequence of our earlier observation on division with remainder.

Special forms

Special forms

Proposition

Let a and b be integers, with $b > 0$. Then a is congruent (modulo b) to a unique integer in the set

$$\{0, 1, \dots, b - 1\}.$$

Special forms

Proposition

Let a and b be integers, with $b > 0$. Then a is congruent (modulo b) to a unique integer in the set

$$\{0, 1, \dots, b - 1\}.$$

Proof.



Residue classes

Residue classes

This proposition has a lot of consequences.

Residue classes

This proposition has a lot of consequences.

It means we can divide up the integers into sets, called *congruence classes* or *residue classes*, based on which number from $\{0, \dots, b - 1\}$ they're congruent to.

Residue classes

This proposition has a lot of consequences.

It means we can divide up the integers into sets, called *congruence classes* or *residue classes*, based on which number from $\{0, \dots, b - 1\}$ they're congruent to. So, for $b = 5$, we divide the integers into:

Residue classes

This proposition has a lot of consequences.

It means we can divide up the integers into sets, called *congruence classes* or *residue classes*, based on which number from $\{0, \dots, b - 1\}$ they're congruent to. So, for $b = 5$, we divide the integers into:

- ▶ $\{\dots, -10, -5, 0, 5, 10, \dots\}$, all congruent to 0 (mod 5);

Residue classes

This proposition has a lot of consequences.

It means we can divide up the integers into sets, called *congruence classes* or *residue classes*, based on which number from $\{0, \dots, b - 1\}$ they're congruent to. So, for $b = 5$, we divide the integers into:

- ▶ $\{\dots, -10, -5, 0, 5, 10, \dots\}$, all congruent to 0 (mod 5);
- ▶ $\{\dots, -9, -4, 1, 6, 11, \dots\}$, all congruent to 1 (mod 5);

Residue classes

This proposition has a lot of consequences.

It means we can divide up the integers into sets, called *congruence classes* or *residue classes*, based on which number from $\{0, \dots, b - 1\}$ they're congruent to. So, for $b = 5$, we divide the integers into:

- ▶ $\{\dots, -10, -5, 0, 5, 10, \dots\}$, all congruent to 0 (mod 5);
- ▶ $\{\dots, -9, -4, 1, 6, 11, \dots\}$, all congruent to 1 (mod 5);
- ▶ $\{\dots, -8, -3, 2, 7, 12, \dots\}$, all congruent to 2 (mod 5);

Residue classes

This proposition has a lot of consequences.

It means we can divide up the integers into sets, called *congruence classes* or *residue classes*, based on which number from $\{0, \dots, b - 1\}$ they're congruent to. So, for $b = 5$, we divide the integers into:

- ▶ $\{\dots, -10, -5, 0, 5, 10, \dots\}$, all congruent to 0 (mod 5);
- ▶ $\{\dots, -9, -4, 1, 6, 11, \dots\}$, all congruent to 1 (mod 5);
- ▶ $\{\dots, -8, -3, 2, 7, 12, \dots\}$, all congruent to 2 (mod 5);
- ▶ $\{\dots, -7, -2, 3, 8, 13, \dots\}$, all congruent to 3 (mod 5);

Residue classes

This proposition has a lot of consequences.

It means we can divide up the integers into sets, called *congruence classes* or *residue classes*, based on which number from $\{0, \dots, b - 1\}$ they're congruent to. So, for $b = 5$, we divide the integers into:

- ▶ $\{\dots, -10, -5, 0, 5, 10, \dots\}$, all congruent to 0 (mod 5);
- ▶ $\{\dots, -9, -4, 1, 6, 11, \dots\}$, all congruent to 1 (mod 5);
- ▶ $\{\dots, -8, -3, 2, 7, 12, \dots\}$, all congruent to 2 (mod 5);
- ▶ $\{\dots, -7, -2, 3, 8, 13, \dots\}$, all congruent to 3 (mod 5);
- ▶ $\{\dots, -6, -1, 4, 9, 14, \dots\}$, all congruent to 4 (mod 5).

About congruence classes

About congruence classes

Many people, particularly those who like numerical calculations with integers (like computer programmers), use all this as an excuse to define a function, also called “mod”, which gives the remainder upon division (so that $a \bmod b$ is an integer between 0 and b).

About congruence classes

Many people, particularly those who like numerical calculations with integers (like computer programmers), use all this as an excuse to define a function, also called “mod”, which gives the remainder upon division (so that $a \bmod b$ is an integer between 0 and b). So they say, for example, that $137 \bmod 100 = 37$.

About congruence classes

Many people, particularly those who like numerical calculations with integers (like computer programmers), use all this as an excuse to define a function, also called “mod”, which gives the remainder upon division (so that $a \bmod b$ is an integer between 0 and b). So they say, for example, that $137 \bmod 100 = 37$. This works fairly well for the computer programmers, but I find it a little unsatisfying.

About congruence classes

Many people, particularly those who like numerical calculations with integers (like computer programmers), use all this as an excuse to define a function, also called “mod”, which gives the remainder upon division (so that $a \bmod b$ is an integer between 0 and b). So they say, for example, that $137 \bmod 100 = 37$.

This works fairly well for the computer programmers, but I find it a little unsatisfying. While it's true that every number is congruent (modulo 7) to a unique number from $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, there's nothing much special about that set.

About congruence classes

Many people, particularly those who like numerical calculations with integers (like computer programmers), use all this as an excuse to define a function, also called “mod”, which gives the remainder upon division (so that $a \bmod b$ is an integer between 0 and b). So they say, for example, that $137 \bmod 100 = 37$.

This works fairly well for the computer programmers, but I find it a little unsatisfying. While it's true that every number is congruent (modulo 7) to a unique number from $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, there's nothing much special about that set. It's also true that every number is congruent (modulo 7) to a unique number in the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$.

About congruence classes

Many people, particularly those who like numerical calculations with integers (like computer programmers), use all this as an excuse to define a function, also called “mod”, which gives the remainder upon division (so that $a \bmod b$ is an integer between 0 and b). So they say, for example, that $137 \bmod 100 = 37$.

This works fairly well for the computer programmers, but I find it a little unsatisfying. While it's true that every number is congruent (modulo 7) to a unique number from $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, there's nothing much special about that set. It's also true that every number is congruent (modulo 7) to a unique number in the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. And it's also true that every number is congruent (modulo 7) to a unique number in the set $\{-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

About congruence classes

Many people, particularly those who like numerical calculations with integers (like computer programmers), use all this as an excuse to define a function, also called “mod”, which gives the remainder upon division (so that $a \bmod b$ is an integer between 0 and b). So they say, for example, that $137 \bmod 100 = 37$.

This works fairly well for the computer programmers, but I find it a little unsatisfying. While it's true that every number is congruent (modulo 7) to a unique number from $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, there's nothing much special about that set. It's also true that every number is congruent (modulo 7) to a unique number in the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. And it's also true that every number is congruent (modulo 7) to a unique number in the set $\{-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$. And, in fact, I can think of situations where all those facts are useful.

About congruence classes

Many people, particularly those who like numerical calculations with integers (like computer programmers), use all this as an excuse to define a function, also called “mod”, which gives the remainder upon division (so that $a \bmod b$ is an integer between 0 and b). So they say, for example, that $137 \bmod 100 = 37$.

This works fairly well for the computer programmers, but I find it a little unsatisfying. While it's true that every number is congruent (modulo 7) to a unique number from $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, there's nothing much special about that set. It's also true that every number is congruent (modulo 7) to a unique number in the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. And it's also true that every number is congruent (modulo 7) to a unique number in the set $\{-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$. And, in fact, I can think of situations where all those facts are useful.

So it's important we just think of the unique number in $\{0, \dots, b - 1\}$ as just one out of many equally good ways of describing our number, up to congruence modulo b .

The arithmetic of congruence classes

The arithmetic of congruence classes

Next semester, you'll come to regard the integers, considered up to congruence modulo m , as a system of numbers in its own right (and why not?

The arithmetic of congruence classes

Next semester, you'll come to regard the integers, considered up to congruence modulo m , as a system of numbers in its own right (and why not? We can add them and subtract them and multiply them, all considered only up to congruence modulo m).

The arithmetic of congruence classes

Next semester, you'll come to regard the integers, considered up to congruence modulo m , as a system of numbers in its own right (and why not? We can add them and subtract them and multiply them, all considered only up to congruence modulo m). This system of numbers is commonly called $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ (for reasons which will remain obscure at least for a year or two more).

The arithmetic of congruence classes

Next semester, you'll come to regard the integers, considered up to congruence modulo m , as a system of numbers in its own right (and why not? We can add them and subtract them and multiply them, all considered only up to congruence modulo m). This system of numbers is commonly called $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ (for reasons which will remain obscure at least for a year or two more).

This is novel in one important sense.

The arithmetic of congruence classes

Next semester, you'll come to regard the integers, considered up to congruence modulo m , as a system of numbers in its own right (and why not? We can add them and subtract them and multiply them, all considered only up to congruence modulo m). This system of numbers is commonly called $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ (for reasons which will remain obscure at least for a year or two more).

This is novel in one important sense. In the past, every time we've introduced a new system of numbers, it's contained the system we were thinking about before. We've built

$$\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

The arithmetic of congruence classes

Next semester, you'll come to regard the integers, considered up to congruence modulo m , as a system of numbers in its own right (and why not? We can add them and subtract them and multiply them, all considered only up to congruence modulo m). This system of numbers is commonly called $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ (for reasons which will remain obscure at least for a year or two more).

This is novel in one important sense. In the past, every time we've introduced a new system of numbers, it's contained the system we were thinking about before. We've built

$$\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

But $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ doesn't seem to work like this in this framework. It's related to \mathbb{Z} , but doesn't really live inside it.

The arithmetic of congruence classes

Next semester, you'll come to regard the integers, considered up to congruence modulo m , as a system of numbers in its own right (and why not? We can add them and subtract them and multiply them, all considered only up to congruence modulo m). This system of numbers is commonly called $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ (for reasons which will remain obscure at least for a year or two more).

This is novel in one important sense. In the past, every time we've introduced a new system of numbers, it's contained the system we were thinking about before. We've built

$$\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

But $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ doesn't seem to work like this in this framework. It's related to \mathbb{Z} , but doesn't really live inside it. Similarly, “times of day” aren't a subset of times: for example, there's no one special point of time in history called “2pm”, just many examples of 2pm on many different days.

The arithmetic of “odd” and “even”

The arithmetic of “odd” and “even”

In the case where $m = 2$, you're probably comfortable with the fact that “odd” and “even” form something like a system of numbers (because you can add them and subtract them and multiply them), but while they've obviously got something to do with \mathbb{Z} , there's no one integer called “odd” and no one integer called “even”.

The arithmetic of “odd” and “even”

In the case where $m = 2$, you're probably comfortable with the fact that “odd” and “even” form something like a system of numbers (because you can add them and subtract them and multiply them), but while they've obviously got something to do with \mathbb{Z} , there's no one integer called “odd” and no one integer called “even”. Modular arithmetic, to other moduli, is similar.

Easy equations

Easy equations

We've now laid the foundations of *modular arithmetic*, the study of congruences.

Easy equations

We've now laid the foundations of *modular arithmetic*, the study of congruences. After all that philosophy, we should do some sums.

Easy equations

We've now laid the foundations of *modular arithmetic*, the study of congruences. After all that philosophy, we should do some sums. The set of all solutions to $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ seems like a perfectly explicit description of a class of numbers: it's a congruence class modulo 7, the class of numbers of the form $7n + 3$.

Easy equations

We've now laid the foundations of *modular arithmetic*, the study of congruences. After all that philosophy, we should do some sums. The set of all solutions to $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ seems like a perfectly explicit description of a class of numbers: it's a congruence class modulo 7, the class of numbers of the form $7n + 3$. So we can start listing them easily:

$$\dots, -11, -4, 3, 10, 17, \dots$$

Harder equations

Harder equations

But what is the set of solutions to $5x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$?

Harder equations

But what is the set of solutions to $5x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$?

That's not a particularly satisfactory description of a set of numbers: it's a pain to list them, so we should ask for better.

Harder equations

But what is the set of solutions to $5x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$?

That's not a particularly satisfactory description of a set of numbers: it's a pain to list them, so we should ask for better.

However, we can get a more satisfactory list just using techniques we already know.

Harder equations

But what is the set of solutions to $5x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$?

That's not a particularly satisfactory description of a set of numbers: it's a pain to list them, so we should ask for better.

However, we can get a more satisfactory list just using techniques we already know. The condition $5x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ says that $7 \mid 5x - 3$, which in turn says that $7k = 5x - 3$ for some k . Rearranging, that says that $5x - 7k = 3$.

Harder equations

But what is the set of solutions to $5x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$?

That's not a particularly satisfactory description of a set of numbers: it's a pain to list them, so we should ask for better.

However, we can get a more satisfactory list just using techniques we already know. The condition $5x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ says that $7 \mid 5x - 3$, which in turn says that $7k = 5x - 3$ for some k .

Rearranging, that says that $5x - 7k = 3$. But we *know* how to get a general solution for those!

Harder equations

But what is the set of solutions to $5x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$?

That's not a particularly satisfactory description of a set of numbers: it's a pain to list them, so we should ask for better.

However, we can get a more satisfactory list just using techniques we already know. The condition $5x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ says that $7 \mid 5x - 3$, which in turn says that $7k = 5x - 3$ for some k .

Rearranging, that says that $5x - 7k = 3$. But we *know* how to get a general solution for those!

Indeed, we find that $\gcd(5, 7) = 1$, and as $1 \mid 3$ there are solutions. First we try to find a single one.

Harder equations

Harder equations

We can get a solution to $5x - 7k = 1$ (by guessing, or by using Euclid's algorithm backwards) such as $x = 3, k = 2$.

Harder equations

We can get a solution to $5x - 7k = 1$ (by guessing, or by using Euclid's algorithm backwards) such as $x = 3, k = 2$. This means (by tripling both sides) that a solution to $5x - 7k = 3$ is given by $x = 9, k = 6$.

Harder equations

We can get a solution to $5x - 7k = 1$ (by guessing, or by using Euclid's algorithm backwards) such as $x = 3, k = 2$. This means (by tripling both sides) that a solution to $5x - 7k = 3$ is given by $x = 9, k = 6$.

To find other solutions, we subtract $5 \times 9 - 7 \times 6 = 3$ from $5x - 7k = 3$ to get $5(x - 9) - 7(k - 6) = 0$.

Harder equations

We can get a solution to $5x - 7k = 1$ (by guessing, or by using Euclid's algorithm backwards) such as $x = 3, k = 2$. This means (by tripling both sides) that a solution to $5x - 7k = 3$ is given by $x = 9, k = 6$.

To find other solutions, we subtract $5 \times 9 - 7 \times 6 = 3$ from $5x - 7k = 3$ to get $5(x - 9) - 7(k - 6) = 0$.

Hence $5(x - 9) = 7(k - 6)$, so $7 \mid 5(x - 9)$. As 7 and 5 are coprime, this means that $7 \mid (x - 9)$.

Harder equations

We can get a solution to $5x - 7k = 1$ (by guessing, or by using Euclid's algorithm backwards) such as $x = 3, k = 2$. This means (by tripling both sides) that a solution to $5x - 7k = 3$ is given by $x = 9, k = 6$.

To find other solutions, we subtract $5 \times 9 - 7 \times 6 = 3$ from $5x - 7k = 3$ to get $5(x - 9) - 7(k - 6) = 0$.

Hence $5(x - 9) = 7(k - 6)$, so $7 \mid 5(x - 9)$. As 7 and 5 are coprime, this means that $7 \mid (x - 9)$. So it's equivalent to $x \equiv 9 \pmod{7}$, which *is* a nice description!